UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,413	12/15/2003	Joseph John Fatula JR.	SJO920030055US1	3992
45216 Kunzler & McK	7590 08/06/200 Kenzie	8	EXAM	IINER
8 EAST BROA	DWAY		LIU,	LIN
SUITE 600 SALT LAKE C	TTY, UT 84111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2145	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Date of Interview: 30 July 2008.  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1.14 and 37.  Identification of prior art discussed: Beeler (PGPUB: US 2004/0083245 A1), Schutzman (Patent: US 6,505,216 B1), Goddard (patent: US 6,883,110 B1).  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion on 101 subject matter with respect to claim 37 was conducted, Application was advised to amend the claim to overcome the 101 objection. Discussion with amendment with respect to claims and 14 were also conducted, Applicant was advised to further amend the claims to overcome the prior arts of record (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) LIN LIU.  (2)
(2) (3) Scott Thorpe.  (2) (4)  Date of Interview: 30 July 2008.  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1,14 and 37.  Identification of prior art discussed: Beeler (PGPUB: US 2004/0083245 A1), Schutzman (Patent: US 6,505,216 B1), Goddard (patent: US 6,883,110 B1).  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion on 101 subject matter with respect to claim 37 was conducted, Applicat was advised to amend the claim to overcome the 101 objection. Discussion with amendment with respect to claims and 14 were also conducted, Applicant was advised to further amend the claims to overcome the prior arts of record (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
Date of Interview: 30 July 2008.  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1.14 and 37.  Identification of prior art discussed: Beeler (PGPUB: US 2004/0083245 A1), Schutzman (Patent: US 6,505,216 B1), Goddard (patent: US 6,883,110 B1).  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion on 101 subject matter with respect to claim 37 was conducted, Application was advised to amend the claim to overcome the 101 objection. Discussion with amendment with respect to claims and 14 were also conducted, Applicant was advised to further amend the claims to overcome the prior arts of record (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
Date of Interview: 30 July 2008.  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:  [Claim(s) discussed: 1,14 and 37.]  Identification of prior art discussed: Beeler (PGPUB: US 2004/0083245 A1), Schutzman (Patent: US 6,505,216 B1), Goddard (patent: US 6,883,110 B1).  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion on 101 subject matter with respect to claim 37 was conducted, Applicatives advised to amend the claim to overcome the 101 objection. Discussion with amendment with respect to claims and 14 were also conducted, Applicant was advised to further amend the claims to overcome the prior arts of record (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1,14 and 37.  Identification of prior art discussed: Beeler (PGPUB: US 2004/0083245 A1), Schutzman (Patent: US 6,505,216 B1), Goddard (patent: US 6,883,110 B1).  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion on 101 subject matter with respect to claim 37 was conducted, Applicated was advised to amend the claim to overcome the 101 objection. Discussion with amendment with respect to claims and 14 were also conducted, Applicant was advised to further amend the claims to overcome the prior arts of record (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)   Yes   e)   No.   If Yes, brief description:
If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1,14 and 37.  Identification of prior art discussed: Beeler (PGPUB: US 2004/0083245 A1), Schutzman (Patent: US 6,505,216 B1), Goddard (patent: US 6,883,110 B1).  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion on 101 subject matter with respect to claim 37 was conducted, Applicate was advised to amend the claim to overcome the 101 objection. Discussion with amendment with respect to claims and 14 were also conducted, Applicant was advised to further amend the claims to overcome the prior arts of record (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
Identification of prior art discussed: Beeler (PGPUB: US 2004/0083245 A1), Schutzman (Patent: US 6,505,216 B1), Goddard (patent: US 6,883,110 B1).  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion on 101 subject matter with respect to claim 37 was conducted, Applicated was advised to amend the claim to overcome the 101 objection. Discussion with amendment with respect to claims and 14 were also conducted, Applicant was advised to further amend the claims to overcome the prior arts of record (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
Goddard (patent: US 6,883,110 B1).  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion on 101 subject matter with respect to claim 37 was conducted, Applicated was advised to amend the claim to overcome the 101 objection. Discussion with amendment with respect to claims and 14 were also conducted, Applicant was advised to further amend the claims to overcome the prior arts of records.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussion on 101 subject matter with respect to claim 37 was conducted, Applicated was advised to amend the claim to overcome the 101 objection. Discussion with amendment with respect to claims and 14 were also conducted, Applicant was advised to further amend the claims to overcome the prior arts of record (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)</u>
reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussion on 101 subject matter with respect to claim 37 was conducted, Applicated was advised to amend the claim to overcome the 101 objection. Discussion with amendment with respect to claims and 14 were also conducted, Applicant was advised to further amend the claims to overcome the prior arts of record (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)</u>
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE
INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, T FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.
/Jason Cardone/ SPE 2145  Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner's signature, if required

Application No.

Applicant(s)